



IST PROJECT 35111

Tightening knowledge sharing in distributed software communities by applying semantic technologies

Project Number:	35111
Project Acronym:	TEAM
Project Title:	Tightening knowledge sharing in distributed software communities by applying semantic technologies
Instrument:	STREP
Thematic Priority:	Information Society Technologies (IST)
Start date of the project:	September 1 st , 2006
Duration:	30 months

D14: Report describing state-of-the-art regarding semantic-based P2P Systems

Lead contractor:	EPFL
Editor(s):	Vijay Srinivas Agneeswaran
Author(s):	Rammohan Narendula
Submission date:	October 2007
Dissemination level:	Public

Abstract: This report describes the state of the in semantic Peer-to-Peer (P2P) systems. It focuses on the use of P2P overlays as the underlying infrastructure to build semantic overlays. The systems surveyed include efforts to build efficient Resource Description Framework (RDF) storage and query strategies in semantic P2P systems, systems that address semantic interoperability. GridVine is found to be the first to address both the scalability of the semantic overlay and semantic interoperability through semantic mappings.

Versioning and Contribution History

Version	Date	Modification reason	Modified by
0.10	07/Aug/2007	Initial version	Vijay Srinivas Agneeswaran
0.20	07/Sep72007	Enhancements – categorization, adding material to each of the three categories	Vijay Srinivas Agneeswaran

This document has been produced in the context of the TEAM Project. The TEAM project is part of the European Community's Sixth Framework Program for research and development and is as such funded by the European Commission. All information in this document is provided "as is" and no guarantee or warranty is given that the information is fit for any particular purpose. The user thereof uses the information at its sole risk and liability. For the avoidance of all doubts, the European Commission has no liability in respect of this document, which is merely representing the authors view.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	4
1 Introduction.....	5
1.1 Document Organization	5
2 Fundamentals	6
2.1 Semantic Web	6
2.1.1 Resource Description Framework (RDF)	6
2.1.2 The Web Ontology Language (OWL)	6
2.2 Fundamentals of Peer-to-Peer Systems: Three Generations.....	7
3 Storing and Querying RDF Data in Semantic P2P Systems.....	9
3.1 RDF and Traditional Query Architectures.....	9
3.2 Query Processing in RDF/S-Based P2P Database Systems (SQPeer).....	9
3.3 Cayley DHTs - A group Theoretic Framework for Analyzing DHTs Based on Cayley Graphs.....	9
3.4 Semantic Query Routing in Unstructured Networks Using Social Metaphors.....	10
3.5 Expertise-Based Peer Selection	10
3.6 RDFPeers	10
3.7 Evaluating Conjunctive Queries Over Structured Overlays	11
4 Semantics, DistrIbuted Schemas in P2P Systems.....	12
4.1 Semantic Coordination of Heterogeneous Classifications Schemas	12
4.2 Semantic Mapping by Approximation.....	12
4.3 Satisfying Ontology Mapping.....	12
5 Peer Data Management Systems.....	13
5.1 Active XML	13
5.2 Edutella	13
5.3 Piazza	13
5.4 P2P Information Exchange and Retrieval (PIER)	14
5.5 PeerDB	14
5.6 GridVine: Building Internet-Scale Semantic Overlay Networks.....	14
6 Conclusions.....	16
7 References.....	17

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This document makes a step in identifying the state of the art in semantic P2P systems. On one hand, lot of research in the P2P systems community has focused on fault-tolerance and scalability, resulting in numerous algorithms, systems such as Chord, Pastry and P-Grid. These systems, however, have no notion of semantics and consequently, have difficulty in knowledge sharing. On the other hand, research in the semantic web community has focused on knowledge sharing among different nodes with possibly different schemas. These have tended to use centralized repositories. The obvious benefits of combining P2P and semantic systems would be to have large scale collection of structured data. Several recent efforts have focused on this combination. However, there have been no attempt to have these efforts grouped in one place for easy assimilation and for finding interesting future directions; this document fills the gap.

1 INTRODUCTION

The Semantic Web is a vision and effort by the W3C (<http://www.w3.org/>) to enable globally dispersed users to share and access structured data, much like a global database. Ideally, the Semantic Web would allow data from diverse sources (possibly stored using different schemas) to be accessed seamlessly, as there would be ways of making the data understandable across sources. The data representation is mainly in the form of Resource Description Framework (RDF), which has now become a W3C standard (<http://www.w3.org/RDF/>). RDF serves as a minimalistic representation format for data, similar to the role played by HTTP in the World Wide Web. RDF stores such as Jena [[Bri02](#)] and others allow RDF elements to be stored in relational database and allow queries over the RDF data, in the form of SPARQL (<http://www.w3.org/TR/rdf-sparql-query/>) or RDQL (<http://www.w3.org/Submission/RDQL/>) queries.

Many existing RDF stores store RDF data in centralized repositories, making it difficult to provide scalability and fault-tolerance. Moreover, the very nature of the semantic web calls for completely decentralized approaches for data management, for reason of freshness and flexibility [[SS06](#)].

Research in Peer-to-Peer systems has focused on scalability and fault-tolerance properties, mainly in file systems. Starting from unstructured systems such as Gnutella [[Gnu00](#)] and Napster [[NAP](#)], P2P systems have evolved to structured systems such as Chord [[IRD⁺03](#)], Pastry [[RD01](#)] and P-Grid [[KPA⁺03](#)]. The structured P2P systems provide a scalable mechanism to store and retrieve data based on indexes, even in the presence of node failures. However, the P2P system community has not focused on semantics, with the result that state of the art P2P systems having no notion of semantics. Thus, it makes perfect sense to marry P2P systems with the semantics in order to realize the Semantic Web vision. This has resulted in what is known as semantic based P2P systems. The focus of this paper is to provide a survey of the state of the art in semantic based P2P systems.

1.1 Document Organization

The rest of this document is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the fundamental concepts required to understand this document including basics of semantic web such as RDF and OWL, and basics of P2P systems including the three generations of P2P systems. Section 3 describes the first category of systems that address storing and querying RDF data. Section 4 discusses systems that address the problem of exchanging and querying semantic data, with each peer having its own independent and possibly unknown schemas. Section 5 describes attempts at building scalable infrastructure for semantic systems. Section 6 concludes the document.

2 FUNDAMENTALS

2.1 Semantic Web

There is a growing need for data integration, typified by the scientific community. For instance, genomics research may require data integration from separate subfields such as proteomics, clinical drug trials etc. This has led to common conceptualizations of data known as ontologies. The way HTTP usage within particle physics community heralded the web, the use of ontologies in e-science could herald the semantic web [NTW06]. The Semantic Web is a vision and effort by the W3C (<http://www.w3.org/>) to enable globally dispersed users to share and access structured data, much like a global database. The semantic web was originally conceived as an extension to the current web from a human readable data collection to one that is a collection of machine manipulatable information collection [BHL01].

An important progress towards the semantic web was made with the adoption of the Resource Description Framework (RDF) as a W3C standard, which was a culmination of efforts to specify, develop and deploy languages for sharing meaning. RDF serves as a minimalistic representation format for data, similar to the role played by HTTP in the World Wide Web. For greater expressivity and sophisticated manipulation, the W3C also came up with the Web Ontology Language (OWL) specification. We give below a brief overview of RDF and OWL.

2.1.1 Resource Description Framework (RDF)

RDF (<http://www.w3.org/RDF/>) was designed as a simple graph model for metadata representation by the W3C. RDF models form a directed labeled graph, consisting of triples (subject, predicate, and object). It is a rather light-weight semantic web language with limited expressivity when, e.g., compared to OWL (see below).

Subjects may either be Uniform Resource Identifiers (URIs) or "blank nodes", objects may also be literal values. A set of RDF triples forms a RDF model or graph. The basic primitives of RDF are defined in RDF Schema (RDFS). Built-in types are Classes (Class, Resource, Property and Literal) and Properties (subClassOf, subPropertyOf, domain and range).

RDF statements can itself be object of another statement, i.e., it is possible to make statements "about" statements. Being in place for some time, there are a number of applications (such as FOAF, RSS 1.0) and stable tools (such as Jena, Oracle) available.

2.1.2 The Web Ontology Language (OWL)

Stemming from US and EU efforts to create knowledge representation languages (DAML+OIL), OWL (<http://www.w3.org/TR/owl-features/>) is the W3Cs major effort towards a standardized language to represent knowledge on the web.

OWL comes in three flavours, which are upwards compatible. The full range of expressivity is included in OWL-Full, which is also compatible to RDF. This implies that OWL-Full allows meta-modeling and thus is not logically decidable. OWL-DL is a decidable subset of OWL-Full while OWL-Lite in turn is a subset of OWL-DL, comparable to RDF (without meta-modeling).

Tool support for OWL is improving in the last time. While most of the available tools were academic implementations, there are now some commercial vendors integrating OWL into their products. Also reasoning engines get more stable and implement larger sets of the standard. In parallel, there is ongoing work to evolve the OWL (OWL 1.1) language by new modeling primitives and to explore possible combinations with rules / logic programming.

2.2 Fundamentals of Peer-to-Peer Systems: Three Generations

P2P as an area became popular only after the advent of Napster, a file sharing system. Napster [NAP] was used for sharing music files. Meta-data about files is stored in a global directory, which is stored in a centralized server. The meta-data stored information about music files themselves, which were downloaded from peers. Gnutella [Gnu00] came up with a decentralized search protocol for file sharing applications. Gnutella can be seen to be a purely decentralized unstructured P2P system. The term "unstructured" refers to the lack of structure in the overlay, which is mostly a random graph. Search was achieved by flooding the network or by using random walks. Freenet added a mechanism to *route* requests to possible content locations, based on best effort semantics. Freenet also adds a notion of anonymity to the data shared. The main advantage of the unstructured P2P systems was that complex queries could be easily handled. By complex queries, we mean queries such as "get all nodes with processing speed > 3GHz and RAM > 1GB and storage > 100GB". This is because the query is sent to each node and evaluated explicitly. However, deterministic guarantees for searching are difficult to provide in these systems.

Initial attempts at introducing structure to the overlay in P2P systems resulted in super-peer systems, with some nodes (which have better capabilities) acting as super-peers. The other nodes act as clients to the super-peers, which form a P2P overlay among themselves. Super-peers made searching more efficient for complex queries, by exploiting the heterogeneous nature of nodes (some nodes have better capabilities and more importantly, better connectivity than others). An example of a popular super-peer system is Kazaa (<http://www.kazaa.com>). However, handling super-peer failures requires replicating super-peers (otherwise the clients may become disconnected). K-replicas can be created in each cluster, resulting in reduced load on the super-peers [YGM03]. However, this may make replicas client aware. Other design issues in super-peer systems include cluster size and dynamic layer management. A large cluster size is good for aggregate bandwidth, but may create bottlenecks. A small cluster size avoids bottlenecks, but may reduce search efficiency. Dynamic layer management allows nodes to play super-peer or client nodes adaptively, thereby making the super-peer network more efficient [ZM05].

The third generation of P2P systems introduced structure in the overlay network. The motivation came from providing deterministic search guarantees, partitioning the load over the available machines effectively, scaling to large numbers and achieving fault-tolerance. The Distributed Hash Table (DHT) was mainly used as the structure for overlay formation. It was based on the Plaxton data structure [CRA97]. Nodes are given identifiers (ids) from an id space. Application objects are also given ids from the same space. The DHT provides a mapping from the application object id (key) to the node id that is responsible for that key. Each node has a routing table consisting of neighbours and performs routing functions to lookup objects. Various DHTs have been proposed, each having different routing algorithms and routing table maintenance. Geometric interpretations of DHTs have been given in [KRS⁺03] (but the focus of that paper was mainly to study the static resilience of DHTs). Chord [IRD⁺03] is based on a ring, while Content Addressable Network (CAN) is based on a

hypercube, Plaxton data structure is based on a tree, while Pastry [RD01] is a hybrid geometry combining the tree and the ring. We discuss some of these structured P2P systems in more detail below.

Chord provides the lookup abstraction of DHTs through the method: `lookup(key)` which maps a key to a node responsible for it. Chord uses consistent hashing to assign m -bit identifiers to both Chord nodes and application objects. The ids are arranged in a ring fashion (modulo 2^m). A key k maps to the first node whose id is equal to or follows k in the identifier space (this node is known as `successor(k)`). Each node maintains a pointer to its successor in the ring. Routing proceeds along the ring till a key is straddled between two node ids, with the second node id being the destination. Each node also maintains information on $O(\log(N))$ (for N nodes) other nodes in the form of a *finger table* in order to speed up routing. Even if nodes in the finger table were to fail, only efficiency is affected, but not correctness. As long as each node is able to connect to its successor, routing is guaranteed to finish in $O(\log(N))$ time.

CAN routes over a hypercube. Each CAN node stores a chunk (or zone) of the hash table. Each node also stores information on adjacent zones in the table. This is again to speed up routing. Lookup requests for a particular key are routed towards a CAN node whose zone contains that key. Requests are routed by correcting bits (n bits for an n -dimensional hypercube). Generally tree based DHTs such as the Plaxton data structure allow bits to be corrected in order (from MSB to LSB of key), while hypercube based DHTs allow bit correction in any order. This makes routing more resilient to node/link failures.

Pastry can be viewed as having a hybrid geometry due to its use of tree based routing and ring like neighbour formation. It provides a *route* abstraction to applications. The `route(msg, key)` ensures that the message with a given id is routed to a node with the closest matching id as key among all live nodes. Each node keeps track of its immediate neighbours in the node id space by maintaining leaf sets. They also store information about a few other nodes that have prefix matching ids in the form of a routing table. Pastry takes into account network locality in routing. This means that a given message will be routed to the nearest node that is alive and that has the closest matching id as the key. Routing takes place by prefix matching, with each hop taking the message one bit closer in the node id space, resulting in $O(\log(N))$ hops.

P-grid [KPA⁺03] is another structured P2P system that introduces self-organization concepts (similar to Gnutella) to construct a DHT. The key idea is that a virtual distributed search tree, with each peer holding a part of the tree. The position of each peer is determined by the binary bit string identifier that represents the subtree this peer is responsible for. Each peer stores a reference to atleast one other peer that is responsible for the other side of the binary tree at that level. This enables query routing in $O(\log(N))$. Thus, a unique feature of P-Grid is that the prefix routing infrastructure adapts to the given distribution of data keys stored in the network, leading to uniform distribution of storage load. A recent study has shown that P-Grid is one of the most scalable DHTs [SV07].

3 STORING AND QUERYING RDF DATA IN SEMANTIC P2P SYSTEMS

We first focus on research efforts which involve storing and querying semantic data in P2P systems. RDF is the format generally used to capture semantic information. Hence, this category can be looked at as RDF stores and efforts to efficiently query RDF stores in P2P systems.

3.1 RDF and Traditional Query Architectures

This [RGHA06] paper provides an architectural perspective of querying RDF data in a distributed environment. It describes the mediator architecture that is at the heart of semantic interoperability solutions. The mediator stores a central schema and is responsible for query reformulation, when it gets a query wherein the target or the destination of the query has a different schema. Different mediator architectures are described in order to improve the scalability and fault-tolerance, including hierarchical mediator architecture (HMA) and a cooperative mediator architecture (CMA). HMA is a first step in reducing the query load on the mediator, as sub-mediators can also perform some query processing. However, the hierarchical nature still limits fault-tolerance. The CMA provides fault-tolerance in addition to scalability, by organizing the mediators as super-peers, with other nodes accessing them for RDF query resolution. The super-peers themselves are connected by a random graph, just like unstructured P2P systems. This makes it difficult to provide guarantees on querying and can also reduce scalability due to flooding.

3.2 Query Processing in RDF/S-Based P2P Database Systems (SQPeer)

SQPeer [GLV06] is a sophisticated query engine for processing RQL queries, focusing mainly on conjunctive queries involving RQL class and property patterns. Peers advertise their respective bases in the form of RVL views by publishing it in the P2P network. The information advertised by each peer is mainly intensional (schema related). SQPeer handles query formulation in which a given query pattern is augmented with information about peers that can actually answer it. If required, a complex query is broken down into simpler sub-queries. A P2P lookup service is then used to find peer views relevant to the input query. A query planner generates a query execution plan. The plan is executed with the help of appropriate peers.

3.3 Cayley DHTs - A group Theoretic Framework for Analyzing DHTs Based on Cayley Graphs

This paper [CWM06] analyzes the different DHTs based on group theory algebra and concludes that a number of DHTs are based on Cayley graphs, a general group theoretic model for analyzing interconnection networks. For instance, Chord is a special circulant graph which are Cayley graphs obtained from the cyclic group and an arbitrary (inversion-closed) generator set, while CAN is a d-dimensional torus, which is also a Cayley graph. The paper further shows that even non-Cayley DHTs such as P-Grid and Pastry/Tapestry also have several interesting properties of Cayley graphs, resulting in desirable features such as symmetry and load balancing, hierarchy, fault-tolerance, proximity, hamiltonicity (the ability to embed a ring structure for dynamic routing). The overall goal is to investigate if the

algebraic design approach enables one to build DHTs in which data and nodes need not necessarily be hashed. This would be very useful for the semantic web, as non-hashed structured P2P systems would allow one to apply semantic web and database technologies for data organization and query processing, combined with the desirable features of DHTs - scalability and fault-tolerance.

3.4 Semantic Query Routing in Unstructured Networks Using Social Metaphors

This paper [CS06] describes an algorithm known as REMINDIN for searching/querying RDF data in an unstructured P2P system using social metaphors. The key idea is to draw inspiration from human social networking; a human being may observe the communication between others and knows who may be able to answer his/her queries. Each peer can select at most two peers from a set of known peers for a given query to avoid flooding the network. If a peer fails to select any peers for a given query, then it uses query relaxation to broaden the query until eventually, all peers can be reached. By using results from a real implementation and a simulation, the authors show that REMINDIN provides better recall than other algorithms. They also show that network load reduces over time, in terms of messages per query.

3.5 Expertise-Based Peer Selection

This paper [RPF06] provides a semantic overlay comprising peer expertise advertisements. Peers advertise their expertise in the network, with the expertise being described using a shared ontology. Queries submitted to a peer are sent to appropriate nodes and not flooded to the network. Selecting an appropriate node to evaluate a query is based on a semantic matching of the query with the peer expertise. The authors describe a bibliographic scenario, namely the bibster system, in which each peer stores an RDF knowledge base comprising set of bibliographic meta-data items. The shared ontology in this case is the ACM topic hierarchy. An advertisement of a peer is a set of topics for which this peer is an expert. Using simulation and real experiments, the authors show that expertise-based peer selection improves the performance of peer selection significantly. This paper [PMAB06] also describes the Bibster bibliographical system, focusing on the recommender functionality.

3.6 RDFPeers

RDFPeers [MM04] was one of the first efforts at building a P2P RDF store using structured overlays. The key idea was to index the RDF triple three times - one each for subject, object and predicate by using globally known hash functions and hence, to store the triple in three nodes which have closest matching ids as the corresponding indexes. This ensures that triple based queries will be successful if the triple exists and it will be found within $O(\log(N))$. Another important advantage of RDF peers is that it does not require schema definitions a priori (before triples can be inserted into the network). RDFPeers is built over Multi-attribute Addressable Network (MAAN) [MMJP03], which itself was an extension of Chord [IRD⁺03] to handle multi-attribute and range queries. RDFPeers is built as a layer over MAAN that provides RDF specific functionalities including RDF storage, retrieval and load balancing. RDF triples are also stored in neighbouring peers (based on the replication factor) in order to provide fault-tolerance. RDFPeers can support triple based queries - atomic triple

patterns, conjunctions with the same variable and constant subjects and different constant predicates. RDFPeers does not support arbitrary joins, selections and projections.

3.7 Evaluating Conjunctive Queries Over Structured Overlays

This paper addresses the open problem of evaluating full conjunctive queries for RDF triples over a P2P network [ESM06]. A Query Chain (QC) algorithm is proposed for the same. The query initiator in QC takes a full conjunction of RDF triples and forms a query chain by sending each triple pattern to a (possibly) different node, based on the hash value of constant part of the triple. Each of these nodes are sent a message comprising the query itself, index of the triple pattern to be computed by it, the query originator's IP address and an intermediate relation to hold intermediate values. The authors mention that the order of evaluation of the triple patterns is important for optimizations, but currently assume a left to right order. The paper also presents an improved algorithm known as Spread By Value (SBV) that spreads the query to more nodes (multiple query chains) than QC by using the intermediate query results. They also show that the performance of queries can be improved by using query caching - a process where IP address of overlay neighbour is stored along with query, so that the overlay routing can be skipped next time, saving network traffic and query time.

4 SEMANTICS, DISTRIBUTED SCHEMAS IN P2P SYSTEMS

This category of papers focus on semantic interoperability in a possibly peer data setting. This means these efforts attempt to solve the problem of exchanging and querying semantic data, with each peer having its own independent and possibly unknown schemas.

4.1 Semantic Coordination of Heterogeneous Classifications Schemas

This paper [PLS06] addresses the semantic interoperability problem by focusing on the (pairwise) mapping between dynamic schemas. Even if the schemas are not known apriori (as may be the case in a P2P system where peers are free to come and go), a mapping may be established. This is possible by two new ideas: One, the mappings must be semantic relations (which have well defined model-theoretic interpretations); Two, the meaning implicit in each schema element must be made explicit, so that the mapping becomes a problem of finding a semantic relation between two schemas, with each schema containing meaningful labels for the elements. Semantic elicitation proceeds by using three different levels of knowledge: namely, lexical knowledge (knowledge about words in the labels), world knowledge (knowledge about the relations between the concepts expressed in words) and structural knowledge (structure of schema graph). Once the schemas are encoded using the three knowledge types, the problem translates into one of logical deduction via implication.

4.2 Semantic Mapping by Approximation

This paper [ZW06] addresses the issue of coordinating or finding an agreement between heterogeneous schema models. A method to discover approximate mappings between two given concepts is proposed. An approximation value that indicates "how strongly" a concept is a subconcept of the second is calculated for each pair of concepts. The numerical value indicates the error in the subsumption relation, with close to 0 meaning most semantic concept of first is there in second. The paper applies the ideas in the context of music ontologies, by extracting music meta-data from music sites on the Internet (common music sites such as those found on Amazon and Yahoo). They use a formalism based on propositional logic for arriving at the approximate mapping.

4.3 Satisfying Ontology Mapping

This paper [MS06] explores semantic mapping from the perspective of satisfying a decision maker by achieving sufficient quality. Consequently, the algorithm proposed named as Quick Ontology Mapping (QOM) addresses semantic translation between peers, where large ontological structures have to be mapped to each other in a few seconds. QOM has lesser run-time complexity compared to other approaches, illustrating the trade-off in sacrificing accuracy in favour of efficiency. The word "satisficing" is inspired from human decision making coined by Herbert Simon (Nobel Laureate in Economics) which targets not optimality but with limited resources, time, attention and efforts at solutions that are good enough.

5 PEER DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

This category of papers attempt to solve the problem of building a scalable infrastructure for semantic systems, with the focus being on the scalability part rather than on the semantics, compared to the previous category, where the focus was on semantic interoperability but not scalability.

5.1 Active XML

A simplistic view of a traditional distributed database management system is that it uses a centralized server to provide a global schema and ACID properties through transactions. Several approaches have extended these techniques to work in a decentralized manner, to apply to Internet or P2P systems. Active XML [[ABC⁺03](#)] provides dynamic XML documents over web services for distributed data integration. It is a model for replicating (whole file) and distributing (parts of a file) XML documents by introducing location aware queries in X-Path and X-Query. It also provides a framework by which peers perform decentralized query processing in the presence of distribution and replication. It allows peers to optimize localized query evaluation costs, by a series of replication steps.

5.2 Edutella

Edutella [[NSS03](#)] attempts to design and implement a schema based P2P infrastructure for the semantic web. It uses W3C standards RDF and RDF Schema as the schema language to annotate resources on the web: achieving a mark up for educational resources. Edutella provides meta-data services such as querying, and replication as well as semantic services such as mapping, mediation and clustering. Edutella services are built over JXTA [[Gon01](#)], a widely used framework for building P2P applications. Edutella query service provides the syntax and semantics for querying both individual RDF repositories and for distributed querying across repositories. Edutella uses *mediators* to provide coherent views across data sources through semantic reconciliation. Edutella was visualized to provide a platform for educational institutions to participate in a global information network, retaining autonomy of learning resources.

The same authors have also attempted to use super-peer based organization of the Edutella peers to make searching more efficient. The paper [[WMW⁺03](#)] describes an organization of the super-peers based on HyperCup, a structured P2P system based on the Hypercube topology [[SSDN02](#)]. The super-peers maintain meta-data for a set of peers, instead of each peer maintaining its own meta-data. The super-peers themselves are connected using the Hypercup overlay. This makes searching for meta-data quite efficient, as searches are executed only in the super-peer overlay. They also use super-peer indices based on schema information to facilitate faster search.

5.3 Piazza

Piazza [[TIM⁺03](#)] is a peer data management system that facilitates decentralized sharing of heterogeneous data. Each peer contributes schemas, mappings, data and/or computation. Piazza provides query answering capabilities over a distributed collection of local schemas and pairwise mappings between them. It essentially provides a decentralized schema mediation mechanism for data integration over a P2P system. Peers in the system contribute

to stored relations, similar to data sources in data integration systems. Query reformulation occurs through stored relations, stored either locally or at other peers. Piazza also addresses the key issue of security, which would enable users to share their data in a controlled manner. Another paper [GD03] describes the way a single data item is published in protected form using cryptographic techniques. The owner of the data item encrypts the data and can specify access control rights declaratively, restricting users to parts of the data.

5.4 P2P Information Exchange and Retrieval (PIER)

P2P Information Exchange and Retrieval (PIER) [HHL⁺03] is a P2P query engine for query processing in Internet scale distributed systems. PIER provides a mechanism for scalable sharing and querying of finger print information, used in network monitoring applications such as intrusion detection. PIER uses four guiding principles in its design. First, it provides relaxed consistency semantics - best effort results, as achieving ACID properties may be difficult in Internet scale systems [SN02]. Second, it assumes organic scaling, meaning that there are no data centres/warehouses and machines can be added in typical P2P fashion. Third, the query engine assumes data is available in native file systems and need not necessarily be loaded into local databases. The fourth principle is that instead of waiting for breakthroughs on semantic technologies for data integration, PIER tries to combine local and reporting mechanisms into a global monitoring facility. PIER is realized over CAN, the hypercube based P2P system [SPM⁺01].

5.5 PeerDB

PeerDB [NOTZ03] is an object management system that provides sophisticated searching capabilities. PeerDB is realized over BestPeer [NOT02], which provides P2P enabling technologies. PeerDB can be viewed as a network of local databases on peers. It allows data sharing without a global schema by using meta-data for each relation and attributes. The query proceeds in two phases: in the first phase, relations that match the user's search are returned by searching on neighbours. After the user selects the desired relations, the second phase begins, where queries are directed to nodes containing the selected relations. Mobile agents are dispatched to perform the queries in both phases.

5.6 GridVine: Building Internet-Scale Semantic Overlay Networks

It can be observed from a reading of the previous sections that several research efforts focus on query answering and semantic mapping reconciliation in federated databases. Peers could have different schemas and data storage mechanisms and are logically interconnected through schema mappings, forming a semantic overlay network. The other research focus has been on structured overlay networks and how scalable, fault-tolerant applications can be built over DHTs. However, these efforts did not focus on semantics. Hence, GridVine [KPMT04] is the first attempt at using a structured overlay network (namely P-Grid) to realize semantic overlays. GridVine realizes semantic overlays by separating a logical layer from a physical layer, applying the well known database principle of data independence.

The logical layer has operations to support semantic interoperability including attribute based search, schema inheritance, schema management and schema mapping. Schema inheritance allows users to derive new schemas from existing well known base schemas, fostering interoperability by reusing sets of conceptualizations belonging to the base

schemas. It also supports a new schema reconciliation technique known as semantic gossiping for semantic interoperability in decentralized settings [[KPM03](#)].

The physical layer provides efficient realizations of operations that exploit the structured overlay network. This includes mapping data and meta-data to routable keys, introduction of a namespace for resources, so that resource requests can be resolved and implementations of traversals of the semantic network, taking advantage of intermediate schema mappings.

6 CONCLUSIONS

The present document has presented a survey of semantic P2P systems. The first category of papers described were ones which addressed storing and querying of RDF stores. The second set of efforts outlined was focused on addressing issues of semantic interoperability in a peer setting. The third category included attempts to build scalable infrastructures for semantic systems, putting scalability as the central focus. GridVine is one of the systems which addressed both the semantic interoperability and scalability issues. Moreover, it also serves as a P2P RDF storing and retrieval engine. Thus, we strongly recommend that GridVine must be chosen as the meta-data infrastructure for TEAM.

This survey has opened up several research possibilities. One such is in the area of benchmarking semantic P2P systems. Simple benchmarks for P2P systems have been proposed, including the *find owner* and *locate replicas* [RRK03]. Recent efforts have also proposed benchmarks for P2P information retrieval [NBMW06]. However, none of these address semantic P2P systems. There was an effort to benchmark RDF queries using the Barton data set [AMMH07]. The data set, however, is centralized. Thus, there have been no real efforts at benchmarking semantic P2P systems.

Consequently, the key issue of performance of the semantic P2P system has not been focused on by the research community. Once benchmarks are in place and existing systems are found inadequate (in terms of performance), as the case may be, building efficient, scalable semantic P2P systems will become an important area of research.

7 REFERENCES

- [ABC⁺03] Serge Abiteboul, Angela Bonifati, Grégory Cobéna, Ioana Manolescu, and Tova Milo. Dynamic XML documents with distribution and replication. In *SIGMOD '03: Proceedings of the 2003 ACM SIGMOD international conference on Management of data*, pages 527-538, New York, NY, USA, 2003. ACM Press.
- [AMMH07] Daniel J. Abadi, Adam Marcus, Samuel R. Madden, and Kate Hollenbach. Using The Barton Libraries Dataset As An RDF Benchmark. Technical Report MIT-CSAIL-TR-2007-036, MIT, 2007.
- [BHL01] Berners-Lee, Tim, Hendler, James, and Lassila, Ora. The semantic web: Scientific american. *Scientific American*, May 2001.
- [Bri02] Brian McBride. Jena: A Semantic Web Toolkit. *IEEE Internet Computing*, 6(6):55-59, 2002.
- [CRA97] C Greg Plaxton, Rajmohan Rajaraman, and Andrea W Richa. Accessing Nearby Copies of Replicated Objects in a Distributed Environment. In *SPAA '97: Proceedings of the ninth annual ACM symposium on Parallel Algorithms and Architectures*, pages 311-320, New York, NY, USA, 1997. ACM Press.
- [CS06] Christoph Tempich and Steffen Staab. Semantic Query Routing in Unstructured Networks Using Social Metaphors. In Steffen Staab and Heiner Stuckenschmidt, editors, *Semantic Web and Peer-to-Peer*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
- [CWM06] Changtao Qu, Wolfgang Nejdl, and Matthias Kriesell. Cayley DHTs - A Group-Theoretic Framework for Analyzing DHTs Based on Cayley Graphs. In Steffen Staab and Heiner Stuckenschmidt, editors, *Semantic Web and Peer-to-Peer*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
- [DRV03] Umeshwar Dayal, Krithi Ramamritham, and T. M. Vijayaraman, editors. *Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Data Engineering, March 5-8, 2003, Bangalore, India*. IEEE Computer Society, 2003.
- [ESM06] Erietta Liarou, Stratos Idreos, and Manolis Koubarakis. Evaluating Conjunctive Triple Pattern Queries over Large Structured Overlay Networks. In *International Semantic Web Conference*, volume 4273 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 399-413. Springer, 2006.
- [GD03] Gerome Miklau and Dan Suciu. Controlling Access to Published Data Using Cryptography. In *Proceedings of Very Large Databases Conference*, pages 898-909, USA, September 2003. VLDB Endowment.
- [GLV06] George Kokkinidis, Lefteris Sidirouros, and Vassilis Christophides. Query Processing in RDF/S-Based P2P Database Systems. In Steffen Staab and Heiner Stuckenschmidt, editors, *Semantic Web and Peer-to-Peer*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
- [Gnu00] Gnutella. The Gnutella protocol specification v0.4. http://www9.limewire.com/developer/gnutella_protocol_0.4.pdf, 2000.
- [Gon01] L Gong. JXTA: A Network Programming Environment. *IEEE Internet Computing*, 5(3):88-95, 2001.

- [HHL⁺03] Ryan Huebsch, Joseph M. Hellerstein, Nick Lanham, Boon Thau Loo, Scott Shenker, and Ion Stoica. Querying the Internet with PIER. In Johann Christoph Freytag, Peter C. Lockemann, Serge Abiteboul, Michael J. Carey, Patricia G. Selinger, and Andreas Heuer, editors, *VLDB 2003, Proceedings of 29th International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, September 9-12, 2003, Berlin, Germany*, pages 321-332. Morgan Kaufmann, 2003.
- [IRD⁺03] I. Stoica, R. Morris, D. Karger, M. F. Kaashoek, and H. Balakrishnan. Chord: A Scalable Peer-to-Peer Lookup Service for Internet Applications. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking*, 11(1):17-32, February 2003.
- [KPA⁺03] Karl Aberer, Philippe Cudre-Mauroux, Anwitaman Datta, Zoran Despotovic, Manfred Hauswirth, Magdalena Puceva, and Roman Schmidt. P-Grid: a Self-Organizing Structured P2P System. *ACM SIGMOD Record*, 32(3):29-33, 2003.
- [KPM03] Karl Aberer, Philippe Cudré-Mauroux, and Manfred Hauswirth. The Chatty Web: Emergent Semantics through Gossiping. In *WWW '03: Proceedings of the 12th international conference on World Wide Web*, pages 197-206, New York, NY, USA, 2003. ACM Press.
- [KPMT04] Karl Aberer, Philippe Cudré-Mauroux, Manfred Hauswirth, and Tim Van Pelt. GridVine: Building Internet-Scale Semantic Overlay Networks. In Sheila A. McIlraith, Dimitris Plexousakis, and Frank van Harmelen, editors, *International Semantic Web Conference*, volume 3298 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 107-121. Springer, 2004.
- [KRS⁺03] K Gummadi, R Gummadi, S Gribble, S Ratnasamy, S Shenker, and I. Stoica. The Impact of DHT Routing Geometry on Resilience and Proximity. In *SIGCOMM '03: Proceedings of the 2003 conference on Applications, technologies, architectures, and protocols for computer communications*, pages 381-394, New York, NY, USA, 2003. ACM Press.
- [MM04] Min Cai and Martin Frank. RDFPeers: a scalable distributed RDF repository based on a structured peer-to-peer network. In *WWW '04: Proceedings of the 13th international conference on World Wide Web*, pages 650-657, New York, NY, USA, 2004. ACM Press.
- [MMJP03] Min Cai, Martin Frank, Jinbo Chen, and Pedro Szekely. MAAN: A Multi-Attribute Addressable Network for Grid Information Services. In *GRID '03: Proceedings of the Fourth International Workshop on Grid Computing*, page 184, Washington, DC, USA, 2003. IEEE Computer Society.
- [MS06] Marc Erhig and Steffen Staab. Satisficing Ontology Mapping. In Steffen Staab and Heiner Stuckenschmidt, editors, *Semantic Web and Peer-to-Peer*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
- [NAP]NAPSTER. Napster media sharing system. <http://www.napster.com>.
- [NBMW06] Thomas Neumann, Matthias Bender, Sebastian Michel, and Gerhard Weikum. A Reproducible Benchmark for P2P Retrieval. In Philippe Bonnet and Ioana Manolescu, editors, *ExpDB*, pages 1-8. ACM, 2006.
- [NOT02] Wee Siong Ng, Beng Chin Ooi, and Kian-Lee Tan. BestPeer: A Self-Configurable Peer-to-Peer System. In *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Data Engineering, 26 February - 1 March 2002, San Jose, CA*, page 272. IEEE Computer Society, 2002.

- [NOTZ03] Wee Siong Ng, Beng Chin Ooi, Kian-Lee Tan, and Aoying Zhou. PeerDB: A P2P-based System for Distributed Data Sharing. In Dayal et al. [DRV03], pages 633-644.
- [NSS03] Wolfgang Nejdl, Wolf Siberski, and Michael Sintek. Design issues and challenges for RDF- and schema-based peer-to-peer systems. *SIGMOD Record*, 32(3):41-46, 2003.
- [NTW06] Nigel Shadbolt, Tim Berners-Lee, and Wendy Hall. The Semantic Web Revisited. *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, 21(3):96-101, 2006.
- [PLS06] Paolo Bouquet, Luciano Serafini, and Stefano Zanobini. Semantic Coordination of Heterogeneous Classifications Schemas. In Steffen Staab and Heiner Stuckenschmidt, editors, *Semantic Web and Peer-to-Peer*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
- [PMAB06] Peter Haase, Marc Ehrig, Andreas Hotho, and Bjorn Schnizler. Personalized Information Access in a Bibliographical Peer-to-Peer System. In Steffen Staab and Heiner Stuckenschmidt, editors, *Semantic Web and Peer-to-Peer*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
- [RD01] A. Rowstron and P. Druschel. Pastry: Scalable, Distributed Object Location and Routing for Large-Scale Peer-to-Peer Systems. in *Proceedings of the 18th IFIP/ACM International Conference on Distributed Systems Platforms (Middleware 2001)*, pages 329-350, Heidelberg, Germany, November 2001.
- [RGHA06] Richard Vdovjak, Geert-Jan Houben, Heiner Stuckenschmidt, and Ad Aerts. RDF and Traditional Query Architectures. In Steffen Staab and Heiner Stuckenschmidt, editors, *Semantic Web and Peer-to-Peer*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
- [RPF06] Ronny Siebes, Peter Haase, and Frank van Harmelen. Expertise Based Peer Selection. In Steffen Staab and Heiner Stuckenschmidt, editors, *Semantic Web and Peer-to-Peer*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
- [RRK03] S. Rhea, T. Roscoe, and J. Kubiawicz. Structured peer-to-peer overlays need application-driven benchmarks, in International Workshop on Peer-to-Peer Systems (IPTPS) 2003.
- [SN02] Seth Gilbert and Nancy Lynch. Brewer's Conjecture and the Feasibility of Consistent, Available, Partition-Tolerant Web Services. *SIGACT News*, 33(2):51-59, 2002.
- [SPM⁺01] Sylvia Ratnasamy, Paul Francis, Mark Handley, Richard Karp, and Scott Shenker. A Scalable Content-Addressable Network. In *SIGCOMM '01: Proceedings of the 2001 conference on Applications, technologies, architectures, and protocols for computer communications*, pages 161-172, New York, NY, USA, 2001. ACM Press.
- [SS06] Staab, Steffen and Stuckenschmidt, Heiner, editors. *Semantic Web and Peer-to-Peer*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
- [SSDN02] Mario T. Schlosser, Michael Sintek, Stefan Decker, and Wolfgang Nejdl. Hypercup - hypercubes, ontologies, and efficient search on peer-to-peer networks. In Gianluca Moro and Manolis Koubarakis, editors, *First International Workshop on Agents and Peer-to-Peer Computing*, volume 2530 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 112-124. Springer, 2002.
- [SV07] Spyros Blanas and Vasilis Samoladas. Contention-based Performance Evaluation of Multidimensional Range Search in Peer-to-peer Networks. In *International Conference on Scalable Information Systems (INFOSCALE)*, New York, NY, USA, 2007. ACM Press.

[TIM⁺03] Igor Tatarinov, Zachary Ives, Jayant Madhavan, Alon Halevy, Dan Suciu, Nilesh Dalvi, Xin Dong, Yana Ka diyska, Gerome Miklau, and Peter Mork. The Piazza Peer Data Management Project. *SIGMOD Record*, 32, September 2003.

[WMW⁺03] Wolfgang Nejdl, Martin Wolpers, Wolf Siberski, Christoph Schmitz, Mario Schlosser, Ingo Brunkhorst, and Alexander Löser. Super-peer-based routing and clustering strategies for RDF-based peer-to-peer networks. In *WWW '03: Proceedings of the 12th international conference on World Wide Web*, pages 536-543, New York, NY, USA, 2003. ACM Press.

[YGM03] Beverly Yang and Hector Garcia-Molina. Designing a super-peer network. In Dayal et al. [[DRV03](#)], pages 49-62.

[ZM05] Li Xiao Zhenyun Zhuang and Member-Yunhao Liu. Dynamic Layer Management in Superpeer Architectures. *IEEE Transactions Parallel and Distributed Systems*, 16(11):1078-1091, 2005.

[ZW06] Zharko Aleksovski and Warner ten Kate. Semantic Mapping By Approximation. In Steffen Staab and Heiner Stuckenschmidt, editors, *Semantic Web and Peer-to-Peer*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.